

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,017	02/10/2004		Jon C. Alexander	076565-0135	9075
26371	7590	09/27/2006		EXAM	INER
FOLEY &			MOHANDESI, JILA M		
,	777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3728	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		NΤ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/776,017	ALEXANDER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jila M. Mohandesi	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the set of the second state of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .	July 2006.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apportity documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	as □ tour = down to on	(DTO 442)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Mail Date rmal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/776,017

Art Unit: 3728

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page.2

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 18-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armstrong (5,366,104) in view of Luburic (Pub. No. US 2002/0148846). Armstrong '104 discloses a hermetic storage system for containing wipes comprising: a flexible container (11) having a collar (flange 21 which extends outwardly away from outboard edge and has a generally upwardly facing surface 22 edge) having a first connector (rolled over section 19) surrounding an aperture; and an impermeable cover member (12) having a second connector (27); wherein the first connector includes one of a groove and a key and the second connector includes the other one of the groove and the key; wherein the groove includes a first peripheral wall and a second peripheral wall, at least one of the first peripheral wall and the second peripheral wall being flexible and wherein the first peripheral wall includes an indentation and protrusion (see Figures 7-9 embodiments); and wherein the first connector and the second connector may be releasably engaged to provide a hermetic seal. See Figures 1-2 and 7-9 embodiments and column 2, lines 61-67 and column 3, lines 20-38. Armstrong '104 does not appear to teach the key to have a width greater than the distance between the protrusion and the second peripheral wall of the groove

Art Unit: 3728

and at least one of the first peripheral wall and the second peripheral wall configured to flex in response to the key passing between the protrusion and the second peripheral wall. Luburic discloses a hermetic storage system where the key has a width greater than the distance between the protrusion and the second peripheral wall of the groove and at least one of the first peripheral wall and the second peripheral wall configured to flex in response to the key passing between the protrusion and the peripheral wall for easier and tighter snapping action to provide a tighter hermetic seal (See Figures 6 and 7 embodiments and paragraph 0031). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a key and groove arrangement with the key having a width greater than the distance between the protrusion and at least one of the first peripheral wall and the second peripheral wall configured to flex in response to the key passing between the protrusion to the container of Armstrong '104 as taught by Luburic to provided a better and tighter hermetic seal when the lid is closed on the body container.

Claims 35-41 are directed to the obvious method of making the hermetic container of Armstrong as modified above.

With respect to claims 8 and 38, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the cover independent from the collar, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

With respect to claims 9 and the shape of the container, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the shape of the container, since such a

Application/Control Number: 10/776,017

Art Unit: 3728

modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component which is only ornamental in function. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art and will not change the function of the container. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Page 4

With respect to the material of the container, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

4. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ishikawa et al. (5,699,912). Armstrong '104 as modified above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the second member defining a second aperture and a third member releasably engaging with the second member to form a continuous hermetic seal around the second aperture. Ishikawa '912 discloses a hermetic storage system for containing wipes where the second member defining a second aperture and a third member releasably engaging with the second member to form a continuous hermetic seal around the second aperture for allowing the wipes to be individually picked out and maintaining the remaining wipes in a sterilized condition. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the second member of Armstrong '104 with a second aperture and a third member releasably engaging with the second member to form a continuous hermetic seal around the second aperture as taught by Ishikawa '912 for allowing the wipes to be individually picked out and maintaining the remaining wipes in a sterilized condition.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,017

Art Unit: 3728

### Response to Arguments

Page 5

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 05, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's argument the Armstrong reference clearly discloses a collar coupled to an opened end of the container as described above.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M. Mohandesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

Art Unit: 3728

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jila M Mohandesi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

JMM September 18, 2006